

NONSINGULAR α -RIGID MAPS: SHORT PROOF

OLEGN. AGEEV

ABSTRACT. It is shown that for every α , where $\alpha \in [0, 1/2]$, there exists an α -rigid transformation whose spectrum has Lebesgue component. This answers the question posed by Klemes and Reinhold in [7]. We apply a certain correspondence between weak limits of powers of a transformation and its skew products.

INTRODUCTION

Let T be a measure-preserving transformation defined on a non-atomic standard Borel probability space $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. The *spectral* properties of T are those of the induced (Koopman's) unitary operator on $L^2(\mu)$ defined by

$$\widehat{T} : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2(\mu); \quad \widehat{T}f(x) = f(Tx).$$

The transformation T is said to be α -rigid on a sequence of integers k_i , where $\alpha \in [0; 1]$, if for every measurable A

$$(0.1) \quad \lim_i \mu(T^{k_i} A \cap A) \geq \alpha \mu(A).$$

All these α 's if we vary the sequence k_i form a closed subset in $[0; 1]$. The transformation T is said to be α -rigid if α is the right point of this subset.

By *mixing component* in the spectrum of the transformation T we mean a non-zero $\widehat{T}$ -invariant subspace, say H , of $L^2(\mu)$ such that $\langle \widehat{T}^k f, g \rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for every $f, g \in H$.

It is said that a transformation T is a *skew product* over T_0 if T acts on a product probability Borel space $(X \times Y, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{C}, \mu \otimes \nu)$ by

$$T(x, y) = (T_0 x, S_x(y)),$$

where $(S_x)_{x \in X}$ is a family of transformations of $(Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$ such that the map $(x, y) \mapsto S_x y$ is measurable. A particular case of it is any *G-extension* over T_0 ; that is, $(Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$ is a group G with the Haar measure ν , and $S_x y = \phi(x) + y$ for some measurable map (cocycle) $\phi : X \rightarrow G$. The restriction of $\widehat{T}$ to an invariant space $H_0 = \{f(x, y) \in L^2 : \exists g(x)[f(x, y) = g(x) \mu \otimes \nu - \text{a.e.}]\}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\widehat{T}_0$. One says that T is *relatively mixing* if $H_0^\perp$ is its mixing component.

It is well known (see, for example, [4], [8]) that both every α -rigid transformation has no mixing components if $\alpha > 1/2$ and is not mixing if $\alpha > 0$. In this short note

Date: May 03. 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37A, 28D05; Secondary 47A05, 47A35, 47D03, 47D07.

Key words and phrases. α -rigid transformation, Lebesgue spectrum, Markov operators.

The author was supported in part by Max Planck Institute of Mathematics, Bonn and the Programme of Support of Leading Scientific Schools of the RF (grant no. NSh-3038.2008.1).

we prove that for every α , where $\alpha \in [0, 1/2]$, there exists an α -rigid transformation whose spectrum has Lebesgue component. This paper is based on well-known facts to the author, and we offer a written version of that because of the current interest to this theme (see [1]). Recently, the author has been informed about [1], where, actually, the main result (as it is stated in the abstract) is that there exists an α -rigid transformation with α less or equal to $1/2$ whose spectrum has Lebesgue component. Let me note that the author of [1] knew that there exists an alternative proof of this theorem. This proof written below is of the independent interest for possible applications, because, in particular, of its large constructive potential.

It is well known that the notion to be α -rigid transformation T can be rewritten in terms of the powers weak operator closure $PCL(T)$ of $\hat{T}$. Indeed, (0.1) is equivalent to

$$\forall f \geq 0 \quad \lim_i \langle \hat{T}^{k_i} f, f \rangle \geq \alpha \langle f, f \rangle.$$

Taking a subsequence of k_i , we can assume that there exist $Q = \lim_i \hat{T}^{k_i}$. Obviously, $Q \geq 0$; that is, $Qf \geq 0$ for every $f \geq 0$.

Let E be the identity map. If for some $f > 0$ a.e., $Q'f = (Q - \alpha\hat{E})f < 0$ on some measurable A , then

$$0 \leq \langle Q(f\chi_{\bar{A}}), f\chi_A \rangle = \langle Q'(f\chi_{\bar{A}}), f\chi_A \rangle \leq \langle Q'f, f\chi_A \rangle \leq 0.$$

Thus A has a zero measure, and $Q' \geq 0$. This implies that

$$\alpha = \max_{P \in PCL(T)} \{ \beta \in [0, 1] : \exists Q \geq 0 [P = \beta\hat{E} + Q] \}.$$

1. THE BASIC RESULT, APPLICATIONS, AND COMMENTS

It is convenient to think that every $P \in PCL(T_0)$ is also the operator $P \otimes \hat{E}$ acting on $L^2(X \times Y, \mu \otimes \nu)$.

In this paper we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let T be a skew product over T_0 and P_{H_0} the orthogonal projection onto H_0 . If T is relatively mixing, then*

$$PCL(T) = PCL(T_0)P_{H_0}.$$

Proof. Take $P \in PCL(T)$, $f, g \in L^2$. Denote by f_0 and $f_0^\perp$ the corresponding parts of f in H_0 and $H_0^\perp$ consequently. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Pf, g \rangle &= \lim_i \langle \hat{T}^{k_i} f, g \rangle = \lim_i \langle \hat{T}^{k_i} (f_0 + f_0^\perp), g_0 + g_0^\perp \rangle = \lim_i \langle \hat{T}^{k_i} f_0, g_0 \rangle = \\ &= \lim_i \langle \hat{T}_0^{k_i} f_0, g_0 \rangle = \lim_i \langle \hat{T}_0^{k_i} f_0, g \rangle = \lim_i \langle \hat{T}_0^{k_i} P_{H_0} f, g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $PCL(T) \subseteq PCL(T_0)P_{H_0}$. Along the same line we also get $PCL(T_0)P_{H_0} \subseteq PCL(T)$. □

Corollary 1.2. *Let T be a relatively mixing $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -extension over T_0 . Then T_0 is α -rigid if and only if T is $\alpha/2$ -rigid.*

Proof. Let us remark first that in this case $P_{H_0} = (\hat{E} + \hat{S})/2$, where $S(x, y) = (x, y + 1 \bmod 1)$. Applying Theorem next all we have to show is if $\alpha\hat{E} + P = 1/2Q + 1/2Q\hat{S}$, where $P \geq 0$, $Q \in PCL(T_0)$, then $Q = 2\alpha\hat{E} + Q'$ for some $Q' \geq 0$. Taking $f \geq 0$, $\text{supp } f \subseteq \{(x, y) : y = 0\}$, ($f \in L^2$), we see that $\langle 1/2Qf, f \rangle =$

$\langle 1/2Q + 1/2Q\widehat{S}f, f \rangle \geq \alpha \langle f, f \rangle$. This implies $\langle 1/2Qf, f \rangle \geq \alpha \langle f, f \rangle$ for every $f \geq 0$. Thus $Q' \geq 0$ (see the discussion near definitions of the α -rigidity). $\square$

Corollary 1.3. *For every α , where $\alpha \in [0, 1/2]$, there exists an α -rigid transformation whose spectrum has Lebesgue component.¹ In fact, for every such α we can take continuum mutually non-isomorphic metrically or even spectrally α -rigid transformations with Lebesgue component in their spectrum.*

Proof. Thanks to Helson and Parry (see [6]) every transformation admits a $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -extension such that $H_0^\perp$ is a Lebesgue component in the spectrum. By the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma every Lebesgue component is mixing. It remains to mention that for every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we can construct enough of different α -rigid transformations applying the technique developed in [2] or [7]. $\square$

Remark 1.4. In [3] it is constructed a family of different α -rigid transformations admitting relatively mixing $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -extensions with twofold Lebesgue component, where $\alpha = 1$ or less. This implies that there exist $1/2$ (or less)-rigid transformations with twofold Lebesgue component in its spectrum. Since Mathew-Nadkarni transformations are included in that family, and they are $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -extensions over the *adic* shift, they are $1/2$ -rigid transformations with twofold Lebesgue component. Indeed, every adic shift has the discrete spectrum, therefore it is just (1-)rigid, and we apply Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.5. All the below notes admit natural extensions to both (non)relatively mixing G -extensions if we can calculate powers limits on $H_0^\perp$ and group actions.

REFERENCES

1. E. H. el Abdalaoui, *On the spectrum of α -rigid maps*, J. Dynam. Control Systems (to appear)
2. O. N. Ageev, *On asymmetry of the future and the past for limit self-joinings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), 2057–2062.
3. O. N. Ageev, *Dynamical systems with an even-multiplicity Lebesgue component in the spectrum*, Math. USSR. Sbornik., **64** (2), (1989), 305–316.
4. J. R. Baxter, *On the Class of Ergodic Transformations*, PhD Thesis, University of Toronto, 1969.
5. I.P. Cornfel'd , S.V. Fomin, Ya. G. Sinai, *Ergodic Theory* (Springer-Verlag, 1980).
6. H. Helson , W. Parry, *Cocycles and spectra*, Arkiv Math. **16** (1978), 195–206.
7. I. Klemes, K. Reinhold, *Rank one transformations with singular spectral type*, Isr. J. Math. **98** (1997), 1–14.
8. V. V. Ryzhikov, *Stochastic intertwining and multiple mixing of dynamical systems*, J. Dynam. Control Systems, **2** (1996), 1–19.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, 2ND BAUMANSKAYA ST. 5, 105005 MOSCOW, RUSSIA

E-mail address: ageev@mx.bmstu.ru

Current address: Max Planck Institute of Mathematics, P.O.Box 7280, D-53072 Bonn, Germany

E-mail address: ageev@mpim-bonn.mpg.de

¹So, it is nonsingular in the sense its maximal spectral type is nonsingular.